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Superintendent of Schools
November 1, 2010
Dear Community Member:

The Montclair Public Schools’ Academic Achievement Report is published annually to provide all stakeholders with data related to the attainment of identified academic goals.

The report is presented to the Montclair Board of Education each fall in an effort to provide insight into district and school level outcomes on benchmark measures required by the New Jersey Department of Education and used to measure each district's overall quality and improvement.

The data contained in the Academic Achievement Report 2010 includes historic as well as current assessment results for NJASK 3-8, HSPA, and other benchmark measures in the form of comparative charts and graphs for both the district and each individual school. The data presentation is preceded by an informative Executive Summary.

Information contained in the presentation made to the Montclair Board of Education, as well as the Academic Achievement Report 2010 in its entirety, will be posted on the Montclair Public Schools website in early November.

Sincerely,


Superintendent

FA:nad


## Achieving Our Goals: Academic Achievement

## Spring 2010

Montclair Public Schools
November 2010

## Montclair Public Schools District Goals

- Goal 1: To improve academic achievement for all students through the use of differentiated instruction and performance assessment to address diverse learning needs.
- Goal 2: To continue to achieve equality and improve efficiency in all programs and services in an effort to serve all students more effectively.
- Goal 3: To promote community engagement in examining implications and needs related to emerging educational issues.


## What We Do to Improve Student Outcomes

- Review data to identify gaps in achievement levels.
- Provide training for teachers in the differentiation of instruction, use of researchbased materials, and foster a deeper understanding of the content to be taught.
- Monitor the quality of instruction provided to students.


## What the Data Tells Us Over Time

- As a whole, the achievement gap is more narrow than it once was.
- Although it has lessened, a gap still persists between our "general population" and some sub-groups.
- There is opportunity for a deeper level of improvement for all students, and a continued reduction in the gap between levels of student academic achievement.


## Grade o8 LAL (NJASK8) CYCLE II Prof/AdvProf DISTRICT By GROUP: 2001-2010

* NOTE: NJ INCREASED THE NUMBER OF QUESTIONS AND RAISED THE STANDARDS FOR NJASK 5, 6, 7, 8 FROM SPRING 2008


NJASK8= New Jersey Assessment of Skills and Knowledge_Gr8 GEPA = Grade Eight Proficiency Assessment

## Grade o8 LAL (NJASK8) CYCLE II Prof/AdvProf DISTRICT By ETHNICITY: 2002-2010

* NOTE: NJ INCREASED THE NUMBER OF QUESTIONS AND RAISED THE STANDARDS FOR NJASK 5, 6, 7, 8 FROM SPRING 2008


NJASK8= New Jersey Assessment of Skills and Knowledge_Gr8 GEPA = Grade Eight Proficiency Assessment

## MHS HSPA LAL Results W and AA 2002-2010 Prof+Adv Prof



Total
Valid
Scores:

```
~W Total (157,168,204,219,229,230,220,238,242) -■-W Gen Ed (136,151,171,204,201,216,193,216,215 )
O- AA Total (168,172,183,206,185,237,176,186,189)
-జ- AA Gen Ed (w Ec Dis) (121,126,146,162,136,182,132,141,127)
```


## What Data Determines AYP?

- NJDOE uses 40 indicators to determine Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
- Percentage of students who take the NJASK 3-8, HSPA;
- Percentage "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" in Language Arts and Mathematics;
- Demographic Groups
- Ethnicity
- Economic Disadvantage
- Special Needs


## Comparison with DFG

- District Factor Grouping (DFG): This designation is used to compare demographically similar school districts (including socio-economics).
- Comparison of levels of student achievement between our students and students in other districts where demographics are similar to those of Montclair (DFG "I").


## Comparison with DFG \& State

- NJASK 4 Language Arts Literacy

| Grade 4 <br> LAL | DFG W/ <br> Mean | Montclair | NJ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 218 | 216 | 204 |
| General | 223 | 220 | 210 |
| Sp Ed | 193 | 186 | 179 |
| Ec Dis | 195 | 190 | 188 |
| W | 218 | 226 | 211 |
| AA | 228 | 201 | 187 |
| A | 204 | 226 | 221 |
| H | 208 | 190 |  |

## Comparison with DFG \& State

- NJASK 4 Mathematics

| Grade 4 Math | DFG M/ Mean | Montclair | NJ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 244 | 240 | 229 |
| General | 249 | 245 | 235 |
| Sp Ed | 219 | 210 | 206 |
| Ec Dis | 216 | 208 | 211 |
| W | 245 | 217 | 238 |
| AA | 262 | 224 | 254 |
| A | 224 | 215 |  |
| H |  |  | 206 |

## Comparison with DFG \& State

- NJASK 8 Language Arts Literacy

| Grade 8 LAL | DFG <br> Mean | Montclair | NJ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 236 | 234 | 224 |
| General | 241 | 242 | 230 |
| Sp Ed | 210 | 205 | 199 |
| Ec Dis | 218 | 211 | 209 |
| W | 237 | 247 | 231 |
| AA | 221 | 218 | 209 |
| A | 247 | 251 | 240 |
| H | 223 | 237 | 211 |

## Comparison with DFG \& State

- NJASK 8 Mathematics

| Grade 8 <br> Math | DFG MI <br> Mean | Montclair | NJ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 239 | 229 | 220 |
| General | 246 | 239 | 229 |
| Sp Ed | 192 | $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ | 178 |
| Ec Dis | 205 | $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ | 198 |
| W | 239 | 252 | 230 |
| AA | 205 | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | 191 |
| A | 264 | $\mathbf{2 5 2}$ | 253 |
| H | 215 | 219 | 202 |

## Comparison with DFG \& State

- HSPA Grade 11 Language Arts Literacy

| Grade 11 <br> LAL | DFG <br> Mean | Montclair | NJ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 240 | 233 | 227 |
| General | 244 | 242 | 234 |
| Sp Ed | 217 | 197 | 198 |
| Ec Dis | 221 | 207 | 211 |
| W | 242 | 246 | 234 |
| AA | 246 | 216 | 210 |
| A | 228 | 235 | 239 |
| H |  |  | 214 |

## Comparison with DFG \& State

- HSPA Grade 11 Mathematics

| Grade 11 <br> Math | DFG M/ <br> Mean | Montclair | NJ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 238 | 227 | 222 |
| General | 244 | 238 | 229 |
| Sp Ed | 204 | 185 | 187 |
| Ec Dis | 212 | 193 | 203 |
| W | 239 | 245 | 230 |
| AA | 253 | 202 | 197 |
| A | 220 | 227 | 244 |
| H |  |  | 206 |

## District Data Analysis

- Look for "Bright Spots" in the data.
- Analyze data to determine what contributed to the attainment of these bright spots.
- Replicate successful strategies where appropriate.
- Replace ineffective strategies with those proven to be successful.


# District Bright Spots: NJASK 8, NJPASS, \& HSPA Language Arts 

- NJASK 8, NJPASS 9\&10, and HSPA Language Arts scores indicate positive outcomes for Total Population.

| Assessment | Proficient | Advanced <br> Proficient | Increase from <br> 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NJASK 8 | $91 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | P \& AP |
| NJPASS 9 | $90 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | AP |
| NJPASS 10 | $85 \%$ | $39.7 \%$ | AP |
| HSPA | $89 \%$ | $30.5 \%$ | P \& AP |

- Individual school data can be found in the Academic Achievement Report that will be posted on the district web-site in early November, 2010.


## District Bright Spots: NJASK 3-5 Math

- NJASK 3-5 Math scores indicate positive outcomes for Total Population (inclusive of all sub-groups).

| Grade <br> Level | Proficient | Adv. Proficient | Increase <br> from 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $86 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ | $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{AP}$ |
| 4 | $83 \%$ | $46.1 \%$ | AP |
| 5 | $90 \%$ | $53.7 \%$ | AP |

- Individual school data can be found in the district Academic Achievement Report - Spring 2010, which will be posted on the district web-site in early November, 2010.


## District Bright Spots: ADP Algebra Assessment

- New Jersey End of Course Algebra I Assessment: District results exceeded the State average for proficiency/advanced proficiency.

| Performance <br> Level | Middle <br> School <br> Average | High <br> School <br> Average | District <br> Average | State <br> Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced <br> Proficient | $14.2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Proficient | $49.6 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Basic | $21.1 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Below Basic | $14.2 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $46 \%$ |

- Only students in grades 7-12, completing Algebra I in 2009-2010 took this assessment.


## District Bright Spots: End Of Course Biology Assessment

- Grade g NJDOE End of Course Biology Assessment

| Grade 9 <br> Biology | Montclair <br> Proficient | Monticlair Adv Prof | Proficient | NJ Adv Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 62\% | 19.6\% | 56\% | 38.4\% |
| General | 69\% | 22.6\% | 63\% | 45.8\% |
| Sp Ed | 23\% | 3.8\% | 21\% | 3.7\% |
| Ec Dis | 22\% | 2.5\% | 30\% | 10.9\% |
| W | 81\% | 28.7\% | 68\% | 63.5\% |
| AA | 36\% | 6.3\% | 27\% | 12.8\% |
| A | 70\% | 35.3\% | 78\% | 61.1\% |
| H | 48\% | 12.0\% | 33\% | 18.5\% |

- This assessment is a new graduation requirement for the class of 2014. (current $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students)


## Cohort Data

- NJDOE compares like grade level data to calculate AYP. \{Different Students\}
- District Cohort Data
- Student academic growth from year to year. \{Same Students\}


## Grade 42009 - Grade 52010 LAL

COHORT ANALYSIS: 2009 NJASK GR4 LAL


COHORT ANALYSIS: 2010 NJASK GR5 LAL


## Grade 5: Partially Proficient in Grade 4 LAL

PART PROF COHORT ANALYSIS: 2009 NJASK GR4 LAL


PART PROF COHORT ANALYSIS: 2010 NJASK GR5 LAL


## Grade 4 2009-Grade 52010 Math

COHORT ANALYSIS-2009 NJASK GR4 MATH


COHORT ANALYSIS• 2010 NJASK GR 5 MATH


## Grade 5: <br> Partially Proficient in Grade 4 Math

PART PROF COHORT ANALYSIS: 2009 NJASK GR4 MATH


PART PROF COHORT ANALYSIS: 2010 NJASK GR5 MATH


## Grade 7 2009-Grade 82010 LAL

COHORT ANALYSIS: 2009 NJASK GRT LAL


COHORT ANALYSIS: 2010 NJASK GR8 LAL


## Grade 8: <br> Partially Proficient in Grade 7 LAL

PART PROF COHORT ANALYSIS: 2009 NJASK GR7 LAL


PART PROF COHORT ANALYSIS: 2010 NJASK GR8 LAL


## Grade 7 2009-Grade 8 2010 Math

COHORT ANALYSIS: 2009 NJASK GR7 MATH


COHORT ANALYSIS: 2010 NJASK GR8 MATH


## Grade 8: Partially Proficient in Grade 7 Math

PART PROF COHORT ANALYSIS: 2009 NJASK GR7 MATH


PART PROF COHORT ANALYSIS: 2010 NJASK GR8 MATH


## HSPA Comparison Language Arts

| Grade 11 HSPA | Partially <br> Prof 2009 | Partially <br> Prof 2010 | Proficient $2009$ | Proficient 2010 | Adv. Prof. 2009 | Adv. Prof $2010$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 11.8\% | 10.9\% | 88.2\% | 89.1\% | 21.4\% | 30.5\% |
| General | 3.7\% | 2.4\% | 96.3\% | 97.6\% | 25.2\% | 37.7\% |
| Sp Ed | 54.7\% | 42.4\% | 45.3\% | 57.6\% | 1.3\% | 2.2\% |
| Ec Dis | 30.4\% | 26.9\%\% | 69.5\% | 73.1\% | 4.3\% | 3.0\% |
| W | 3.8\% | 2.1\% | 96.2\% | 97.9\% | 31.1\% | 48.3\% |
| AA | 23.1\% | 21.7\% | 76.8\% | 78.4\% | 9.1\% | 7.4\% |
| A | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 100\% | 91.6\% | 37.0\% | 33.3\% |
| H | 16.7\% | 12.0\% | 83.4\% | 88.0\% | 6.7\% | 32.0\% |

## HSPA Comparison Math

| Grade 11 HSPA | Partially <br> Prof 2009 | Partially <br> Prof 2010 | Proficient 2009 | Proficient 2010 | Adv. Prof. 2009 | Adv. Prof 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 20.3\% | 23.0\% | 79.7\% | 76.9\% | 30.1\% | $34.3 \%$ |
| General | 10.2\% | 10.4\% | 89.8\% | 89.6\% | 34.5\% | 41.9\% |
| Sp Ed | 75.3\% | 72.5\% | 24.6\% | 27.5\% | 6.8\% | 4.4\% |
| Ec Dis | 46.4\% | 63.6\% | 53.6\% | 36.4\% | 1.4\% | 6.1\% |
| W | 7.6\% | 5.3\% | 92.4\% | 94.6\% | 46.8\% | 54.7\% |
| AA | 36.6\% | 47.3\% | 63.4\% | 52.6\% | 7.7\% | 8.5\% |
| A | 3.7\% | 8.3\% | 96.3\% | 91.7\% | 51.9\% | 50.0\% |
| H | 36.7\% | 16.0\% | 63.4\% | 84.0\% | 16.7\% | 24.0\% |

## Educational Proficiency Plans

- NJDOE Educational Proficiency Plans for any student who does not achieve proficiency in any area of the NJASK 8 or HSPA. (September 2010)
- EPPs intended to document interventions and supports provided to students as they strive to earn a high school diploma.
- All MHS students (other than those exempt from passing the HSPA) attained proficiency as measured by HSPA, or AHSA by the end of the 2009-2010 school year.


## Montclair High School College Going Rate Comparison of 1999 with 2010

|  | 1999 |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADS | 338 |  | 459 |  |
|  | ATTENDING COLLEGE: |  |  |  |
| W | 124 | 86\% | 207 | 94\% |
| AA | 118 | 69\% | 160 | 85\% |
| H | 8 | 66\% | 21 | 77\% |
| A | 11 | 100\% | 21 | 100\% |
| Total | 261 | (77\% | 409 | 89\% |

## The Value of Each Child

- This data set tells a story; provides threads in a tapestry of learning.
- As educators, we strive never to forget that the data represents the levels to which each child demonstrates attainment of skills and knowledge.
- While this is in no way the whole story, The Montclair Public Schools know that it is critical that we do not lose sight of the learning outcomes for one single child.

Telephone: 973.509 .4000 ~ Fax: 973.509 .0586 ~ Email: falvarez@montclair.k12.nj.us

## MONTCLAIR PUBLIC SCHOOLS

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT REPORT

## LANGUAGE ARTS LITERACY

2009-2010

Montclair Public School students demonstrate growth in the area of Language Arts Literacy over time; however, a change in the cut point required for proficiency at grades three and four requires spring 2009 data to be deemed as baseline. The district focus on a balanced approach to Language Arts, inclusive of both reading and writing contribute to student understanding of the New Jersey Core Curriculum Content Standards (NJCCCS), on which state assessments are constructed.

The requirements for the level of proficiency on the NJ ASK for grades 3 and 4 were adjusted for the spring 2009 assessment. The assessments contained greater emphasis on open-ended questions (constructed responses) and reading, with the introduction of a new writing task, speculative writing. This more rigorous cut point was again used during the spring 2010 administration of NJASK.

NJ ASK Adjustment in Proficiency Cut-Point for Grade 3

| Grade 3 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Points Required for <br> Proficiency | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| Percent Correct for <br> Proficiency | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | Table 1

NJ ASK Adjustment in Proficiency Cut-Point for Grade 4

| Grade 3 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Points Required for <br> Proficiency | 43 | 59 | 59 |
| Percent Correct for <br> Proficiency | 44 | 54 | 54 |

Table 2

## NJ ASK 3

Grade 3 students continue to show growth in the area of Language Arts Literacy, however, some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the New Jersey state benchmark percentage. It should be noted that NJDOE lowered the benchmark target for proficiency to $59 \%$ due to the implementation of a more rigorous cut score. This target moves to $79 \%$ in 2011.

- $71 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 3 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $12 \%$ above the state target.
- $79 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $20 \%$ above the State target.
- $31 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $28 \%$ below the state target.
- $31 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $28 \%$ below the state target.
- $45 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $14 \%$ below the State target.
- $66 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $7 \%$ above the State target.


## NJ ASK 4

Grade 4 students continue to show growth, however some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the NJ State benchmark percentage. Again, NJDOE lowered the benchmark target for proficiency to $59 \%$ due to the implementation of a more rigorous cut score. This target moves to $79 \%$ in 2011.

- $74 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 4 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $15 \%$ above the state target.
- $81 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $22 \%$ above the State target.
- $32 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $27 \%$ below the state target.
- $39 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $20 \%$ below the state target.
- 53\% of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, 6\% below the State target.
- $63 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $4 \%$ above the State target.


## NJ ASK 5

Grade 5 students continue to show growth, however some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the NJ State benchmark percentage. Again, NJDOE lowered the benchmark target for proficiency to $59 \%$ due to the implementation of a more rigorous cut score. This target moves to $79 \%$ in 2011.

- $75 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 5 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $16 \%$ above the state target.
- $81 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $22 \%$ above the State target.
- $45 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $14 \%$ below the state target.
- $49 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $10 \%$ below the state target.
- $56 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $3 \%$ below the State target.
- $69 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $10 \%$ above the State target.


## NJ ASK 6

Grade 6 students continue to show growth, however some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the NJ State benchmark percentage of $72 \%$. This target moves to $86 \%$ in 2011.

- $80 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 6 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $8 \%$ above the state target.
- $88 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $16 \%$ above the State target.
- $37 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, 35\% below the state target.
- $52 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $20 \%$ below the state target.
- 65\% of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $7 \%$ below the State target.
- $82 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $10 \%$ above the State target.


## NJ ASK 7

Grade 7 students continue to show growth, however some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the NJ State benchmark percentage of $72 \%$. This target moves to $86 \%$ in 2011.

- $82 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 7 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $10 \%$ above the state target.
- $88 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $16 \%$ above the State target.
- $50 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $22 \%$ below the state target.
- $54 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $18 \%$ below the state target.
- $65 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $7 \%$ below the State target.
- $67 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, 5\% below the State target.


## NJ ASK 8

Grade 8 students continue to show growth, however some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the NJ State benchmark percentage of $72 \%$. This target moves to $86 \%$ in 2011.

- $91 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 8 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $19 \%$ above the state target.
- $98 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $26 \%$ above the State target.
- $62 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $10 \%$ below the state target.
- $78 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $6 \%$ above the state target.
- $81 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $9 \%$ above the State target.
- $89 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $17 \%$ above the State target.


## HSPA

Grade 11 students continue to show growth, however some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the NJ State benchmark percentage of $85 \%$. This target moves to $92 \%$ in 2011.

- $89 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 11 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $4 \%$ above the state target.
- $98 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $13 \%$ above the State target.
- $58 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $27 \%$ below the state target.
- $73 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $1 \%$ above the state target.
- 78\% of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $7 \%$ below the State target.
- $88 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $3 \%$ above the State target.


## RECOMMENDATIONS

The data generated and the information gained from the NJ ASK will be analyzed and used by teachers and administrators to directly inform instruction. The information provides teachers the means to develop appropriate differentiated instruction strategies to ensure that students are afforded every opportunity to learn and achieve. Language Arts teachers should review the Language Arts (Writing and Reading) cluster scores, as well as the district's standards-based curriculum and teacher-developed curriculum maps in order to properly differentiate instruction for all students. In addition, technology based instruction and assessment tools such as DRA2, Read 180, System 44, Learnia and Study Island should continue to be employed as a means for supporting student academic growth.

Teachers of students in need of academic support inclusive of students with special needs should continue to implement differentiated instruction strategies to increase students' transfer of knowledge. Professional development in the use of research-based instructional programs such as DRA2, Learnia,

Ramp Up Literacy, and System 44 should continue to be provided. Student progress will be monitored at both the district and school levels.

These instructional strategies will continue to be supported via the services of the Department of Instruction, The Department of Pupil Services, and the District Literacy Consultant during the 2010-11 school year.

The district will continue to look closely at programs in place, transitions from elementary to middle and from middle to high school language arts, and alignment of the curriculum to the newly adopted Common Core Standards for Language Arts, in preparation for implementation as required by the timetable provided by NJDOE.

## MATHEMATICS

2008-2009

Montclair Public School students demonstrate long term growth over time. However, a change in the cutpoint required for proficiency at grades 3 and 4 requires the treatment of spring 2009 data as baseline at those two grade levels. Developmental growth over time has been sustained with systemic professional development of content knowledge and pedagogy with the Creative University School Partnership (CUSP) at Montclair State's Professional Resource in Science and Math (PRISM) Center and the New Jersey Statewide Systemic Initiative (NJSSI). New to the District Math teachers were trained in grade level content knowledge as well as strategies to present concepts for standards-based math, at Montclair State. Middle School Mathematics teachers participated in on-site, individualized professional development provided through a coaching model by a consultant from Stevens Institute of Technology (CEISE).

The requirements for the level of proficiency on the NJASK for grades 3 and 4 were adjusted for the spring 2009 assessment. The assessments contained new features such as greater emphasis on numerical operations, additional constructed response items, and a greater number of items overall. The tables below represent the adjustment to the cut-point for proficiency effective spring 2009, and its continuance in 2010.

NJ ASK Adjustment in Proficiency Cut-Point for Grade 3

| Grade 3 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Points Required for <br> Proficiency | 33 | 50 | 50 |
| Percent Correct for <br> Proficiency | 42 | 52 | 52 |

Table 1

NJ ASK Adjustment in Proficiency Cut-Point for Grade 4

| Grade 4 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Points Required for <br> Proficiency | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 50 |
| Percent Correct for <br> Proficiency | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 50 |

Table 2

## NJ ASK 3

Grade 3 students continue to show growth in the area of Mathematics, however, some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the New Jersey state benchmark percentage. It should be noted that NJDOE lowered the benchmark target for proficiency to $66 \%$ due to the implementation of a more rigorous cut score. This target moves to $83 \%$ in 2011.

- $86 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 3 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $20 \%$ above the state target.
- $87 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $21 \%$ above the State target.
- $81 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $15 \%$ above the state target.
- $49 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $17 \%$ below the state target.
- 63\% of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, 3\% below the State target.
- $80 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $14 \%$ above the State target.


## NJ ASK 4

Grade 4 students continue to show growth in the area of Mathematics, however, some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to level of the New Jersey state benchmark percentage.
Again, NJDOE lowered the benchmark target for proficiency to $66 \%$ due to the implementation of a more rigorous cut score. This target moves to $83 \%$ in 2011.

- $83 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 4 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $17 \%$ above the state target.
- $88 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $22 \%$ above the State target.
- $56 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $10 \%$ below the state target.
- $54 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $12 \%$ below the state target.
- $67 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $1 \%$ above the State target.
- $69 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $3 \%$ above the State target.


## NJ ASK 5

Grade 5 students continue to show growth in the area of Mathematics, all groups of students have achieved proficiency above the level of the New Jersey state benchmark percentage.
Again, NJDOE lowered the benchmark target for proficiency to $66 \%$ due to the implementation of a more rigorous cut score. This target moves to $83 \%$ in 2011.

- $90 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 5 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $24 \%$ above the state target.
- $93 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $27 \%$ above the State target.
- $70 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $4 \%$ above the state target.
- $68 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $2 \%$ above the state target.
- $79 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $13 \%$ above the State target.
- $83 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $17 \%$ above the State target.


## NJ ASK 6

Grade 6 students continue to show growth in the area of Mathematics, however, some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to level of the New Jersey state benchmark percentage of $61 \%$. This target moves to $80 \%$ in 2011.

- $80 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 6 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $19 \%$ above the state target.
- $87 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $26 \%$ above the State target.
- $41 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, 20\% below the state target.
- $59 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $2 \%$ below the state target.
- $61 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, meeting the State target.
- $82 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $21 \%$ above the State target.


## NJ ASK Grade 7

Grade 7 students continue to show growth in the area of Mathematics, however, some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to level of the New Jersey state benchmark percentage of $61 \%$. This target moves to $80 \%$ in 2011.

- $75 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 7 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $14 \%$ above the state target.
- $81 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $20 \%$ above the State target.
- $35 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $26 \%$ below the state target.
- $45 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $16 \%$ below the state target.
- $53 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $8 \%$ below the state target.
- $62 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $1 \%$ above the State target.


## NJ ASK 8

Grade 8 students continue to show growth in the area of Mathematics, however, some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to level of the New Jersey state benchmark percentage of $61 \%$. This target moves to $80 \%$ in 2011.

- $73 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 8 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $12 \%$ above the state target.
- $83 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $22 \%$ above the State target.
- $32 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $29 \%$ below the state target.
- $40 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $21 \%$ below the state target.
- $52 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $9 \%$ below the state target.
- $63 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $2 \%$ above the State target.


## HSPA - Grade 11

Grade 11 students continue to show growth, however some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the NJ State benchmark percentage of $74 \%$. This target moves to $86 \%$ in 2011.

- $77 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 11 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $3 \%$ above the state target.
- $90 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $16 \%$ above the State target.
- $28 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $46 \%$ below the state target.
- $36 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $38 \%$ below the state target.
- $53 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $18 \%$ below the State target.
- $84 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $10 \%$ above the State target.


## RECOMMENDATIONS

The data generated and the information gained from the NJASK, should be analyzed by teachers and administrators to directly inform instruction. The information provides teachers the means to develop appropriate differentiated instruction strategies to ensure that students are afforded every opportunity to learn and achieve. Math teachers should review math cluster data, the district standards-based curriculum, teacher developed curriculum maps, and instructional pacing in order to properly differentiate instruction for all students. Differentiation occurs in the regular classroom setting and as part of the SAIL model, STARS, and programs for Students with Disabilities. The district mid-year and end of year assessments in mathematics will continue at all levels.

In addition, technology based instruction and assessment tools, such as Study Island, and Learnia should be employed as a means of supporting student academic growth.

Teachers of students in need of academic support, inclusive of students with special needs should continue to implement differentiated instruction strategies to increase students' transfer of knowledge. Professional development in the use of research-based instructional programs such as Mathematics Navigator, Ramp-Up Mathematics, Learnia, and Study Island will be provided. Student progress will be monitored at both the district and school levels.

The district will continue to look closely at programs in place, transitions from elementary to middle and from middle to high school mathematics, and alignment of the curriculum to the newly adopted Common Core Standards for Mathematics, in preparation for implementation as required by the timetable provided by NJDOE.

